

### REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified patent application in view of the remarks following is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-18 are in this case. Claims 4-6 and 13-15 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1-3, 7-12 and 16-18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Szelinski et al (6,044,181).

While traversing the rejection for the reasons heretofore enumerated in the previous response filed, Applicants note that the Examiner, in his response to the arguments previously made by Applicants, indicated that while argued by Applicant, Applicant did not include in the independent claims 1 and 10 recitation to the effect that the definition that each translation parameter is represented by a "vector in vector space".

By this amendment, Applicant has inserted the aforementioned recitation in both independent claims 1 and 10, thereby addressing the above point.

It is respectfully urged that the examiner enter this amendment and allow claims 1 and 10, along with dependent claims 2-9 and 11-18, respectively.

In view of the above amendments and remarks it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-18 are in condition for allowance. Prompt notice of allowance is respectfully and earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Martin O. Moynihan

Martin Moynihan  
Registration No. 40,338

Date: August 3, 2005